

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/591,754	YOSHIYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JAE W. LEE	1656	

All Participants:

(1) JAE W. LEE.

(2) William Schmidt II.

Status of Application: After final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

a potential 112 2nd paragraph rejection

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12 and 21-31

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner explained the nature of the proposed Examiner's amendment which would likely put the claims into condition for allowance (see attached Examiner's amendment) because claim 9 had some issues under 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)